

**KEY: 5 Agree Strongly; 4 Agree Mildly; 3 Neutral; 2 Disagree Mildly; 1 Disagree Strongly**

Course: **FISH 500, Issues in Fisheries Research, weekly seminar**

Last updated: April 30, 2008

Year: **2007W, Term 1/2**

# of Registrants: **credit**

# of Respondents:

	1 term 1, 3 term 2			DISAGREE		blank	average of responses	# replies
	AGREE			MILDLY	STRONGLY			
<b>term 1 responses</b>	STRONGLY	MILDLY	NEUTRAL	MILDLY	STRONGLY			
The instructors ( <b>Pauly faculty, Cullis Suzuki organizer</b> ) :	5	4	3	2	1			
1. Presented material in a clear and understandable way		1					4.00	1
2. Presented material in an interesting way		1					4.00	1
3. Was receptive to questions	1						5.00	1
4. Stimulated students to think	1						5.00	1
5. Was considerate of students		1					4.00	1
6. Taught effectively		1					4.00	1
average							4.33	

	AGREE			DISAGREE		blank	average of responses	# replies
	STRONGLY	MILDLY	NEUTRAL	MILDLY	STRONGLY			
<b>term 2 responses</b>	STRONGLY	MILDLY	NEUTRAL	MILDLY	STRONGLY			
The instructors ( <b>Pauly faculty, Cullis Suzuki organizer</b> ) :	5	4	3	2	1			
1. Presented material in a clear and understandable way	1	2					4.33	3
2. Presented material in an interesting way	1	2					4.33	3
3. Was receptive to questions	1	1	1				4.00	3
4. Stimulated students to think	2	1					4.67	3
5. Was considerate of students		2	1				3.67	3
6. Taught effectively		1	2				3.33	3
average							4.06	

*Please comment on what the instructor did well, and your suggestions for improving the course and teaching effectiveness of the instructor*

**December 17, 2007:** I felt as a marine mammal research unit student that there was very little applicable to me. My favourite lecture of the semester (climate change) had little to do with fisheries. To encourage more MMRU students to take the course, I would recommend more talks with a marine mammal connection or more seminars with broader scope.

**April 2008:** I would have liked more comments on my presentation. It would have been useful to see Daniel give a presentation and then discuss with us afterwards what made it effective. I would have liked some marine mammal content.

**April 2008:** While I enjoyed this course overall, I would have liked more feedback from Daniel, particularly with respect to the student presentations (I received no feedback). I didn't feel as though I benefitted enough from his wealth of knowledge in that respect. I found his insights on the presentations (when he was here) to be interesting and there were many things he mentioned that I either didn't know or hadn't considered. I would have liked our discussions after the lectures, however, to have taken on more of a critique rather than criticism role, which I felt the discussions largely were. Whether intended or not, I got the impression that there was someplace he'd rather be than interacting with us. There or not, I would have appreciated more "facetime" despite his busy schedule.

**April 2008:** Often chose to discuss some presentations at length over some others. Some talks were not attended, but even some that were attended were not discussed afterwards, which demonstrates a lack of consideration of the students and the premise of the course.