

KEY: 5 Agree Strongly; 4 Agree Mildly; 3 Neutral; 2 Disagree Mildly; 1 Disagree Strongly

Course: **FISH 504 (section 001), Quantitative Analysis of Fisheries I**

Year: **2006W, Term 1**

Last updated: January 3, 2007

of Registrants: 5 credit, ~7 unofficial audit

of Respondents: 2

	AGREE			DISAGREE		blank	average of responses	proof
	STRONGLY	MILDLY	NEUTRAL	MILDLY	STRONGLY			
The instructors (Martell) :	5	4	3	2	1			
1. Presented material in a clear and understandable way		2					4.00	2
2. Presented material in an interesting way	2						5.00	2
3. Was receptive to questions	1	1					4.50	2
4. Stimulated students to think	2						5.00	2
5. Was considerate of students	1	1					4.50	2
6. Taught effectively	2						5.00	2
average							4.67	

Please comment on what the instructor did well, and your suggestions for improving the course and teaching effectiveness of the instructor

The material was interesting and the instructor used real fisheries examples that made it easier to understand concepts, but using "R" to do the analysis was a real challenge! I think we need a separate course that teaches how to use R effectively.
He made very difficult material understandable. His use of R is top notch. His patience in showing neophytes the ropes is also commended.