

KEY: 5 Agree Strongly; 4 Agree Mildly; 3 Neutral; 2 Disagree Mildly; 1 Disagree Strongly								
Course: FISH 500, weekly seminar								
Year: 2005W, Terms 1 and 2								
# of Registrants: 9								
# of Respondents: 6								
	AGREE			DISAGREE				
	STRONGLY	MILDLY	NEUTRAL	MILDLY	STRONGLY			
The instructors (Pauly faculty, Jacquet seminar organizer) :	5	4	3	2	1	blank	average of responses	proof
1. Presented material in a clear and understandable way	1	1				1	4.50	2
2. Presented material in an interesting way	1	1				1	4.50	2
3. Was receptive to questions	1	1				1	4.50	2
4. Stimulated students to think	2					1	5.00	2
5. Was considerate of students	1	1				1	4.50	2
6. Taught effectively	2					1	5.00	2
average							4.67	
<i>Please comment on what the instructor did well, and your suggestions for improving the course and teaching effectiveness of the instructor</i>								
Great variety of speakers in the series allowed a range of discussion topics for Daniel and students. This was helpful. Lack of accessibility of instructor is my only real complaint. Our interaction with Daniel was limited. When he was in town and we could converse as a group, that was great. His 'bad graphs' presentation was helpful.								
The one unique thing that I found in this course, is it makes you think in diverse ways on similar topics. Daniel and his team deserve the credit for coordinating one of the best courses in Fisheries Centre. This course is strong due to two reasons. Daniel always spent time after every seminar, explaining patiently the intricate details of the presentation, and the issues which deserve notice, and issues which were important in the context of present day fisheries management. Daniel brings with him the experience, which is really valuable to researchers like us. The course has certainly changed the way I approach issues, and my ability to comprehend fishers concetsp. I will continue to attend the seminars in the coming years.								
Either more work needs to be required or less. Currently we don't get a lot of practice presenting our ideas, and writing about the seminars is boring. A real discussion would be valuable, but we never do that. Also this course is scheduled in the middle of a bunch of other courses leading to many conflicts.								